

Message Text

SECRET

PAGE 01 NEW DE 06598 181122Z

46 S

ACTION NEA-06

INFO OCT-01 SS-14 ISO-00 EUR-10 NSC-07 NSCE-00 PM-03 SP-01

L-02 INRE-00 CIAE-00 INR-10 NSAE-00 RSC-01 DODE-00

ACDA-10 AEC-05 SCI-03 USIE-00 PRS-01 PA-01 IO-03

FEA-01 OMB-01 AID-10 SAM-01 DRC-01 SSO-00 /092 W

----- 077980

O 181103Z MAY 74

FM AMEMBASSY NEW DELHI

TO SECSTATE WASHDC NIACT IMMEDIATE 0000

INFO AMEMBASSY LONDON IMMEDIATE

S E C R E T NEW DELHI 6598

LIMDIS

LONDON FOR MOYNIHAN

E.O. 11652: GDS

TAGS: MCAP, PARM, TECH, PINT, IN

SUBJECT: INDIA'S NUCLEAR EXPLOSION: WHY NOW?

1. INDIA HAS EXPLODED A NUCLEAR DEVICE AT A TIME WHEN INDIA IS IN DEEP ECONOMIC DIFFICULTY AND THE GOVERNMENT IS CONTENDING WITH A RISING TIDE OF DISILLUSIONMENT AND DISCONTENT. CORRUPTION, MISMANAGEMENT, LABOR INDISCIPLINE, RAMPANT INFLATION, FOOD SHORTAGES, AND THE IMPACT OF THE HIGH COST OF CRUDE HAVE LED TO DISMAL ECONOMIC PERFORMANCE AND SEVERE POLITICAL UNREST. THE TURMOIL EARLIER THIS YEAR IN GUJARAT AND THEN BIHAR, SCATTERED SMALL-SCALE VIOLENCE, AND THE CURRENT RAIL STRIKE HAVE CONFRONTED THE GOVERNMENT WITH A SERIES OF CRISES IN MAINTAINING POLITICAL AND ECONOMIC DISCIPLINE.

2. WE ARE INCLINED TO BELIEVE THAT THIS GENERAL DOMESTIC GLOOM AND UNCERTAINTY WEIGHED SIGNIFICANTLY IN THE BALANCE OF INDIA'S NUCLEAR DECISION. THE NEED FOR A PSYCHOLOGICAL BOOST, THE HOPE OF RECREATING THE ATMOSPHERE OF EXHILARATION AND NATION-

SECRET

SECRET

PAGE 02 NEW DE 06598 181122Z

ALISM THAT SWEEPED THE COUNTRY AFTER 1971--CONTRARY TO OUR EARLIER

EXPECTATION--MAY HAVE TIPPED THE SCALES.

3. INTERNATIONALLY, INDIA IN RECENT YEAR HAS FELT IT HAD BEEN RELEGATED TO THE SIDELINES WITH ITS SIGNIFICANCE IGNORED AND ITS POTENTIAL ROLE DOWNPLAYED. THE FEELING THAT IT NEEDED TO DEMONSTRATE ITS NUCLEAR CAPABILITY IN ORDER TO BE TAKEN SERIOUSLY MAY ALSO HAVE BEEN A PSYCHOLOGICAL ELEMENT IN ITS DECISION.

4. THE SUCCESSFUL CONCLUSION OF INDIA'S TRIPARTITE NEGOTIATIONS WITH PAKISTAN AND BANGLADESH IN EARLY APRIL MAY HAVE INFLUENCED THE TIMING OF THE EXPLOSION. INDIA PROBABLY WANTED TO WIND UP THIS PHASE OF CLEARING UP THE PROBLEMS FROM 1971 BEFORE MOVING AHEAD WITH AN ACTION WHICH WAS CERTAIN TO UPSET ITS RELATIONS WITH PAKISTAN.

5. WE ARE AWARE OF NO RECENT MILITARY PRESSURE OF THE GOVERNMENT TO GO NUCLEAR.

6. THERE HAS BEEN, HOWEVER, NO RECENT DOMESTIC POLITICAL PRESSURE FOR INDIA TO GO NUCLEAR. IN FACT, THIS ISSUE HAS BEEN RELATIVELY QUIESCENT DURING THE PAST YEAR. RIGHTWING NATIONALIST JANA SANGH MEMBERS OF PARLIAMENT HAVE PRESSED THE GOVERNMENT IN PARLIAMENT FROM TIME TO TIME, AS THEY HAVE IN PAST YEARS, ABOUT LAGGING PROGRESS IN THE NUCLEAR FIELD AND ABOUT WHY THE GOVERNMENT WAS NOT TAKING STEPS TO ACQUIRE A NUCLEAR CAPABILITY. BUT THESE OCCASIONAL SALLIES WERE ANSWERED BY STANDARD POLICY STATEMENTS THAT THE GOVERNMENT WAS INVESTIGATING THE POSSIBILITIES OF "PEACEFUL" NUCLEAR EXPLOSIONS AND THAT INDIA WOULD USE ATOMIC ENERGY ONLY FOR PEACEFUL PURPOSES. WITH A LARGE CONGRESS MAJORITY IN PARLIAMENT PRESSURE FROM THE FEW VOCAL RIGHT-WINGERS COULD BE CONTAINED.

7. AT THIS STAGE WE DO NOT KNOW WHEN INDIA'S DECISION TO EXPLODE A NUCLEAR DEVICE WAS TAKEN. NOR DO WE KNOW EXACTLY HOW THE INTERNATIONAL SITUATION, DOMESTIC CONSIDERATIONS, AND TECHNOLOGICAL PROGRESS INFLUENCED THE TIMING OF EXPLOSION. IT SEEMS CLEAR, HOWEVER, THAT THE DECISION WAS TAKEN BY MRS. GANDHI, THAT IT WAS SUPPORTED OR ACCEPTED BY THOSE OF HER ASSOCIATES THAT KNEW OF THE DECISION, AND THAT THE INDIAN LEADERSHIP BELIEVED THE
SECRET

SECRET

PAGE 03 NEW DE 06598 181122Z

DECISION WOULD REDOUND TO THEIR CREDIT DOMESTICALLY.

8. WE BELIEVE THAT THE DECISION WILL APPEAL TO NATIONALIST FEELING AND WILL BE WIDELY WELCOMED BY THE INDIAN POPULACE. IT REMAINS TO BE SEEN TO WHAT EXTENT THE GOVERNMENT WILL SUCCEED IN TRANSLATING THIS FEELING INTO TANGIBLE POLITICAL RETURNS. GOVERNMENT WILL ALSO BE TEMPTED TO SEIZE ON INTERNATIONAL BACKLASH, CONDEMNATION AND RETRIBUTION TO APPEAL FOR ITS OWN

DOMESTIC PURPOSES TO CHAUVINIST FEELING AT HOME. THE PICTURE
OF A GOVERNMENT EMBATTLED AND STANDING UP TO FOREIGN ABUSE
COULD BE QUITE USEFUL TO THE INDIAN LEADERSHIP TODAY.

9. DEPARTMENT REPEAT AS DESIRED. SCHNEIDER

SECRET

NNN

Message Attributes

Automatic Decaptioning: Z
Capture Date: 01 JAN 1994
Channel Indicators: n/a
Current Classification: UNCLASSIFIED
Concepts: ECONOMIC CONDITIONS, PEACEFUL NUCLEAR EXPLOSIONS, NUCLEAR TESTS, UNDERGROUND EXPLOSIONS, PUBLIC ATTITUDES, POPULAR SUPPORT, POLITICAL SITUATION
Control Number: n/a
Copy: SINGLE
Draft Date: 18 MAY 1974
Decaption Date: 28 MAY 2004
Decaption Note: 25 YEAR REVIEW
Disposition Action: RELEASED
Disposition Approved on Date:
Disposition Authority: GarlanWA
Disposition Case Number: n/a
Disposition Comment: 25 YEAR REVIEW
Disposition Date: 28 MAY 2004
Disposition Event:
Disposition History: n/a
Disposition Reason:
Disposition Remarks:
Document Number: 1974NEWDE06598
Document Source: CORE
Document Unique ID: 00
Drafter: n/a
Enclosure: n/a
Executive Order: GS
Errors: N/A
Film Number: D740124-0112
From: NEW DELHI
Handling Restrictions: n/a
Image Path:
ISecure: 1
Legacy Key: link1974/newtext/t19740536/aaaabfna.tel
Line Count: 120
Locator: TEXT ON-LINE, ON MICROFILM
Office: ACTION NEA
Original Classification: SECRET
Original Handling Restrictions: LIMDIS
Original Previous Classification: n/a
Original Previous Handling Restrictions: n/a
Page Count: 3
Previous Channel Indicators:
Previous Classification: SECRET
Previous Handling Restrictions: LIMDIS
Reference: n/a
Review Action: RELEASED, APPROVED
Review Authority: GarlanWA
Review Comment: n/a
Review Content Flags:
Review Date: 15 APR 2002
Review Event:
Review Exemptions: n/a
Review History: RELEASED <15 APR 2002 by garlanwa>; APPROVED <25 FEB 2003 by GarlanWA>
Review Markings:

Declassified/Released
US Department of State
EO Systematic Review
30 JUN 2005

Review Media Identifier:
Review Referrals: n/a
Review Release Date: n/a
Review Release Event: n/a
Review Transfer Date:
Review Withdrawn Fields: n/a
Secure: OPEN
Status: NATIVE
Subject: INDIA'S NUCLEAR EXPLOSION: WHY NOW?
TAGS: TECH, MCAP, PARM, PINT, IN
To: STATE
Type: TE
Markings: Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 30 JUN 2005